

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/682,119	10/10/2003	Atsuo Sakai	243905US2	8221
22850 75	590 02/10/2005		EXAM	INER
,	VAK, MCCLELLAND,	YEAGLEY,	DANIEL S	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3611	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2009	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y
- /

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/682,119	SAKAI, ATSUO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel Yeagley	3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Stat	tus
------	-----

	reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	after the mailing date of this co	mmunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10 October 200</u>	<u>3</u> .			
· · · · ·	•	2b)⊠ This action is r				
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election red	quirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	:: a) □ accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) t	pe held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certi	fied copies not received.			
Attachmen	*(a)					
Attachment 1) Notice	स्ड) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, drawn to figure 1.

Species II, drawn to figure 3,

Species III, drawn to figure 5,

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claim appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/682,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission — may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. A telephone call was made to Joseph Scafetta, Jr. on 2/2/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Yeagley whose telephone number is 703 305 0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D Morris can be reached on **703 - 308 - 0629**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

In the near future; because of a pending move of the examining corps to a new campus, the examiner and SPE telephone numbers will change to 571 - 272 - 6655 and 571 - 272 - 6651; respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,119

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.Y.

LESLEY D. MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lesley D. Mour

Page 4